

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,091 01/28/2004		Claudio Pisano	2818-194	9822		
23117	7590	06/08/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON &			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			К	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1615	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

=			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/765,091	PISANO ET AL.	PISANO ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANISIONS OF	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. tutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply lill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this of the content of the conte	•				
Status									
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
<i>'</i> =	Since this application is in condition f	,		prosecution as to the	e merits is				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			•					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-53</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>43-53</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗆 '	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	•						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 20</u>	004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)  object	ted to by the Examin	ier.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	t(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	il Date	O 152\							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PT	J-132)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims included in the prosecution are 43-53.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 43-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitations that when R5 is stearoyl, R6 is not stearyl, when R5 is oleoyl, R6 is not stearyl and when R5 is palmitoyl, R6 is not palmitoyl, when R5 is myristoyl, R6 is not myristoyl, when R5 is lauroyl, R6 is not lauryl, when R5 is oleoyl, R6 is not oleyl in claim 45 is not present in the specification originally filed (this is a divisional of 09/958,328) and therefore deemed to be new matter.
- 3. Claims 43-53 provide for the use of the composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 43-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper

Application/Control Number: 10/765,091 Page 3

**Art Unit: 1615** 

definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 43-51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Wang et al (J. Med. Chem. 1998) of record.

Wang et al disclose cationic liposome compositions containing claimed alky acyl carnitine esters for gene delivery. The fatty acid groups are oleyl or myristoyl, palmitoyl or stearoyl groups. The liposomes

contain helper lipid, cholesterol. The liposomes are administered intravenously (abstract, Scheme 1 on page 2208, Tables 3 and 4 on page 2211, page 2214, col. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 43-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al by itself or in combination with Houghton (6,294,378).

Page 4

The teachings of Wang et al have been discussed above. What is lacking in Wang et al is the teaching of the use of the liposomes for the delivery of a gene, which codes for a protein useful as vaccine. However, since Wang et al teach the ability of the liposomes for the delivery of generic 'gene', it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose an appropriate gene including those which code for proteins which could be useful as vaccines with a reasonable expectation of success. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated further to use the liposomes of Wang to deliver a gene which encodes a vaccine with a reasonable expectation of success since Houghton teaches that DNA constructs could be packaged in liposomes which when expressed in the cells of the host which is being immunized (abstract).

5. Claims 43-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (5,653,996) in combination with Wang et al cited above.

Hsu discloses liposomal compositions for the delivery of therapeutic agents. The agents include plasmids (DNA), a variety of passenger molecules (col. 4, lines 53-55, col. 6, line 10 through col. 7, line 42, col. 15, lines 1-9).

What is lacking in Hsu is the teaching of the inclusion of claimed carnitine derivatives.

Wang as discussed above teaches the ability of the claimed carnitine derivatives to form liposomes by themselves or in combination with other bilayer forming phospholipids (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the liposomes of Wang in the teachings of Hsu for the delivery of therapeutic as well as cosmetic

Art Unit: 1615

agents with a reasonable expectation of success since both Wang and Hsu are directed to liposomes and liposomes are carriers of active agents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Woodward Michael can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615